

REMARKS

Claims 4, 5 and 7 are pending. Claim 7 is the only independent claim. Claims 4 and 5 have been amended to depend from claim 7.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the indication, in the Final Office Action, and again in the Advisory Action dated March 28, 2008, that claim 7 would be allowed if rewritten in independent form. Since that claim was so rewritten in the Amendment dated March 5, 2008, now entered, claim 7 is now believed to be in condition for allowance. Claims 4 and 5 have been amended to depend from claim 7 and are believed allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 7.

Claims 1-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over U.S. Patent 6,728,271 (Kawamura et al.) in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0160898 (Lim et al.). The cancellation of claims 1-3 and 6, and the amendments to claims 4 and 5 are believed to render these rejections moot.

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance and entry of this amendment is proper under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116.

Dated: June 9, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By Joseph W. Ragusa
Joseph W. Ragusa
Registration No.: 38,586
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-2714
(212) 277-6500
Attorney for Applicant